

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

(fur)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/597,403	06/21/2000	Takahiro Moro	862.C1931	7168
5514	7590 10/06/2006		EXAMINER	
	CK CELLA HARPER	BRINICH, STEPHEN M		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2625	•

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/597,4	03	MORO ET AL.				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Stephen I	M. Brinich	2625				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati or Reply	on appears on th	e cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILInsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be treply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF TI CFR 1.136(a). In no evition. y period will apply and w by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICA vent, however, may a rep vill expire SIX (6) MONTA blication to become ABA	ATION. Only be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this of NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 13 September :	2006.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	, —							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>87-107</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>87-91,93-97 and 99-103</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>92,98 and 104</u> is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election r	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[accepted or b	objected to by	y the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) t	oe held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is requir	ed if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. No	ote the attached (Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo ☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	oreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the			eceived in this National	l Stage			
	application from the International E		` ''					
* 8	see the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certi	fied copies not re	eceived.				
	4.							
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		A) 🔲 (atau dawa a	(DTO 440)				
	e of References Cited (P10-692) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	48)	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
3) 🛛 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	-	5) Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/11/06</u> . 6) Other:								

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 87-91, 93-97, & 99-103 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nakajima et al (6025929).

Re claims 87, 93, & 99, Nakajima et al discloses (Figure 4; column 4, line 29 - column 7, line 61; particularly column 4, lines 53-54; column 5, lines 1-2; column 5, lines 39-40; column 7, lines 42-46 & 52-61) an image processing arrangement using a plurality of process tables (e.g. a luminance-density conversion process table, an input data correction table, a masking process table, a tone reproduction curve table for each color, a binarize processing table). A database (Figure 21; column 10, lines 34-67) of process tables indicates their respective correspondences to a print mode, and determines which of these process tables will be loaded and used for each print mode.

Page 3

Art Unit: 2625

Further re claims 87, 93, & 99, Nakajima et al discloses (column 8, lines 31-35) the implementation of this image processing arrangement in the form of printer driver software (which is by definition a computer program product which is necessarily stored on a computer readable medium in order to be usable).

Re claims 88, 90, 94, 96, 100, & 102, Nakajima et al further discloses (column 7, lines 42-46) that the tone reproduction process uses three color correction tables (for cyan, magenta, and yellow) and a greyscale correction table (for black).

Re claims 89, 95, & 101, Nakajima et al further discloses (column 4, lines 48-49) that the luminance-density conversion process uses a color conversion table (RGB to CMY).

Re claims 91, 97, & 103, Nakajima et al further discloses (column 7, lines 52-61) that the binarize processing uses a plurality of dither matrix tables (either a "well-known pseudo-halftone processing" or "error diffusion" or "simple binarization").

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 92, 98, & 104 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten

Art Unit: 2625

in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Re claims 92, 98, & 104, the art of record does not teach or suggest the recited plurality of margin tables corresponding to printer modes in conjunction with the recited arrangement of plural process tables corresponding to print modes.

Re claims 105-107, the art of record does not teach or suggest the recited determination of processing condition in dependence upon plural conditions including all of the recited conditions (paper quality, quantization method, and print quality) in conjunction with the recited arrangement of plural process tables corresponding to print modes.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning the contents of this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Brinich at 571-272-7430.

Any inquiry relating to the status of this application or proceeding or any inquiry of a general nature concerning application processing should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 Customer Service center at 571-272-2600 or to the USPTO Contact Center at 800-786-9199 or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 09/597,403

Art Unit: 2625

Page 5

The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays 8:00-5:30, alternate Fridays off.

The examiner's unit designation has been changed from "Art Unit 2624" to "Technology Division 2625" (as of March 20, 2006).

If attempts to contact the examiner and the Customer Service Center are unsuccessful, supervisor David Moore can be contacted at 571-272-7437.

Faxes pertaining to this application should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 official fax number, which is 571-273-8300 (as of July 15, 2005).

Hand-carried correspondence may be delivered to the Customer Service Window, located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Stephen M Brinich

Examiner

Technology Division 2625

smb

September 26, 2006